

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/652,899	08/31/2000	Fred Alan Bishop	10655.8000	3558
759	90 11/17/2006		EXAMINER	
John G Bisbikis			WORJLOH, JALATEE	
McDermott Wil	l & Emery		•	
227 W Monroe	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60	Chicago, IL 60606-5096			•
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/652,899	BISHOP ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jalatee Worjloh	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100/-> 4 //	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 October 2006</u>. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	y must be med within the time pend	od set forth in 37 CFR	.41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bed appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F1OL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.	(Jode V	~

alatee Worjloh Ratent Examiner Art Unit: 3621

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amended claims 2, 43,90, and 98 to include (1) issuing a challenge "to a third server and forwarding the challenge from said third server" to the user (2) receiving said response "at said third server" from the user based upon said challenge and (3) processing said response "at said thrid server" to verify the intelligent token. The newly added features were not previously considered; therefore, further search and/or consideration is required.